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Labor/Employment Concerns

• A Taco Bell employee licked a stack of taco shells to submit for an internal contest and then posted the image on his Facebook page.

• Taco Bell fired the employee, stating that “...we deplore the impressions this has caused to our customers, fans, franchisees, and team members. The behavior is unacceptable for people working in a restaurant.”
The Open Meetings Act

• The intent of the Open Meetings Act (OMA) is to provide openness and accountability in government and is interpreted to accomplish this goal.
  
  *Booth Newspapers v Wyoming City Council*
  

• The OMA is construed liberally in favor of openness.
  
  *Wexford County Prosecutor v Pranger*
  
  83 Mich App 197 (1978)

• Attempts to avoid the OMA are regularly met with disapproval by the courts.
  
  *Booth Newspapers v Wyoming City Council*
  
The Open Meetings Act

• All meetings of a public body shall be open to the public.

• All decisions of a public body shall be made at a meeting open to the public.

• All deliberations of a public body constituting a quorum* of its members shall take place at a meeting open to the public, except for closed sessions.

MCL 15.263(1); MCL 15.263(2); MCL 15.263(3).

*Subquorum deliberations will be discussed later.
• **Public Body** - means any State or Local legislative or governing body, including a board, commission, committee, subcommittee, authority, or council, which is empowered by State constitution, statute, charter, ordinance, resolution, or rule to exercise governmental or proprietary authority or perform a governmental or proprietary function, or a lessee thereof performing an essential public purpose and function pursuant to the lease agreement.

MCL 15.262.
• **Meeting** - means the convening of a public body at which a quorum* is present for the purpose of deliberating toward or rendering a decision on a public policy.

• **Closed Session** - means a meeting or part of a meeting of a public body which is closed to the public.

MCL 15.262.

*Subquorum meetings will be discussed later.*
• **Decision** - means a determination, action, vote, or disposition upon a motion, proposal, recommendation, resolution, order, ordinance, bill, or measure on which a vote by members of a public body is required and by which a public body effectuates or formulates public policy.

MCL 15.262.
• **Deliberation** – While the act does not define deliberation, the courts have provided guidance:

• “deliberation” includes “discussing,” which, in turn, is defined as “the act of exchanging views on something”
  - *Hoff v Spoolstra*, unpublished, 2008 (COA No. 272898)

• Black’s Law Dictionary . . . defines this word as “the act of *carefully considering issues* and options *before making a decision or taking some action*; esp., the process by which a jury reaches a verdict; as by analyzing, *discussing*, and weighing the evidence”. The word “discussion” is defined as the act of *exchanging views* on something; a *debate*.
The Open Meetings Act

Citizens for a Better Algonac Community School
v Algonac Community Schools
317 Mich. App. 171 (Sept. 8, 2016)

• Early 2014 – Board undertakes search for Superintendent
• Apr. 1, 2014 – Board votes to offer position to neighboring Superintendent and “begin contract development [asap]”
• President and members exchange a series of emails over the next few weeks regarding contract negotiations, drafts of proposed contracts, working out details and settling on a final contract.
• Apr. 28, 2014 – Board approves contract “unanimously, swiftly, and without discussion”
May 2014 – P files suit alleging emails constitute deliberations of a public body in violation of OMA

P sought declaratory judgment finding a violation of OMA, an order compelling compliance and enjoining further non-compliance, and attorney fees and costs.

T/C: Board “violated the [OMA] by conducting deliberations…outside of a public meeting”

T/C: No injunction as P failed to show practice occurred in the past, continued at the present time, or would persist in the future.
The Open Meetings Act

*Citizens for a Better Algonac Community Schools v Algonac Community Schools*

317 Mich. App. 171 (Sept. 8, 2016)

- T/C: No injunction = no attorney fees or costs

- **COA**
  - A complaint seeking pure declaratory relief, as an independent remedy standing on its own, is unsustainable in regard to alleged OMA violations.
  - OMA provides 3-tiered enforcement scheme for private litigants
    - An action to invalidate a decision made in violation of the OMA. MCL 15.270
    - An action for injunctive relief enjoining ongoing OMA violation and compelling compliance. MCL 15.271
    - An action for damages for intentional OMA violation. MCL 15.273
  - P’s not entitled to injunction = no sustainable cause of action. Vacated the T/C’s granting of declaratory relief.
The Open Meetings Act

Court of Appeals Holds Email Deliberations Among a “Quorum of a Public Body Violates the OMA


- Four members of a seven-member elected public body engaged in numerous email exchanges regarding matters of public policy which would soon come before the public body for consideration
- Three of the members on the group emails actively exchanged thoughts and plans to handle the matters.
Court of Appeals Holds Email Deliberations Among a “Quorum” of a Public Body Violates the OMA


- The fourth member on the group emails simply received the emails but did not actively engage in the exchange.
- At subsequent public meetings, the matters were handled just as had been planned in the email exchanges.
Court of Appeals Holds Email Deliberations Among a “Quorum” of a Public Body Violates the OMA


• The Court found that the group emails constituted a “meeting” under the OMA because there was a quorum present and deliberations occurred on a matter of public policy.
• “Because the meeting was held privately via email, the four defendants violated [Section 3(3) of the OMA] which required such deliberations to be open to the public.”
What about subquorum meetings or communications?

• These cases were held to be **in violation of** the OMA:


  • *Booth Newspapers, Inc. v. Univ. of Michigan Bd of Regents*, 192 Mich.App. 574, 481 N.W.2d 778 (1992) aff’d in part and rev’d in part on other grounds 444 Mich. 211 (1993) (sub-quorum committee given the authority to act regarding the selection of a university president violated the OMA; “round-the-horn” telephone calls and conferences resulted in “decisions” required to be made at an open meeting).
The Open Meetings Act


• *Schmiedecke v Clare School Bd*, 228 Mich App 259; 577 N.W.2d 706 (1998), (sub-quorum committee given the authority to make only a recommendation on policy regarding evaluation of administrators violated the OMA).

• *Hoff v Spoelstra, et al*, unpublished opinion per curiam of the Court of Appeals, issued July 8, 2008, (Docket No. 272898) (subquorum discussions on termination of city attorney violated OMA.)
General Provisions of FOIA

- Legislative Intent
  - Public Access to Government Information
    - Access to the affairs of government and official acts of public officials
    - Participate in the democratic process
  - FOIA is a pro-disclosure statute
  - Exemptions are narrowly construed
  - Duty to provide access to non-exempt records
All public records are subject to full disclosure under the act unless the material is specifically exempt under an express statutory exemption. Swickard v. Wayne County Medical Examiner, 438 Mich 536, 544 (1991).
A public record is a writing prepared, owned, used, in the possession of, or retained by a public body in the performance of an official function, from the time it is created. Public record does not include computer software.

MCL 15.232
What is a Writing?

- A “Writing” means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, and every other means of recording, and includes letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and papers, maps, magnetic or paper tapes, photographic films or prints, microfilm, microfiche, magnetic or punched cards, discs, drums, or other means of recording or retaining meaningful content.

  MCL 15.232(h)
Text messages satisfy the statutory definition of a public record if they capture communications by public officials in the performance of an official function.

- Flagg v City of Detroit, 252 F.R.D. 346 (E.D. MI 2008)
Records Retention

• “A record that is required to be kept by a public officer in the discharge of duties imposed by law, that is required to be filed in a public office, or that is a memorial of a transaction of a public officer made in the discharge of a duty is the property of this state and shall not be disposed of, mutilated, or destroyed except as provided by law.”

MCL 399.5(2) (Michigan Historical Commission Act governing record retention).
Records Retention

“All official books, papers or records created by or received in any office or agency of the state of Michigan or its political subdivisions, are declared to be public property, belonging to the people of the state of Michigan. All books, papers or records shall be disposed of only as provided in...[Record Retention Law MCL 399.5].”

MCL 750.491 (Michigan Penal Code).
Records Retention

• “Any person who shall wilfully carry away, mutilate or destroy any of such books, papers, records or any part of the same, and any person who shall retain and continue to hold the possession of any books, papers or records, or parts thereof, belonging to the aforesaid offices and shall refuse to deliver up such books, papers, records, or parts thereof to the proper officer having charge of the office to which such books, papers, or records belong, upon demand being made by such officer or, in cases of a defunct office, the Michigan historical commission, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in the state prison not more than 2 years or by a fine of not more than $1,000.00.”

MCL 750.491 (Michigan Penal Code).
Policy Considerations

Applicable to email, text messaging and social media platforms

• Recognize record retention requirements
  • Practical challenges of retention, reproducing, disclosing
• Recognize OMA/FOIA Concerns
  • Discourage discussions or deliberations by council members
  • Have media/management staff post and respond on social media, not council members
• Discourage use of personal accounts for public business
• Consult with your legal counsel regarding
  • Employment law
  • First amendment
Questions?
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